2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to the Northern District's Standing Order and the Court's order dated April 30, 2008, plaintiff GA Escrow, LLC ("GA Escrow" or "Plaintiff") and defendant Autonomy Corporation PLC ("Autonomy" or "Defendant") respectfully submit this Joint Case Management Statement.

1. Jurisdiction and Service.

This action was originally filed by Plaintiff in the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco. On April 2, 2008 defendant removed this action to federal court, pursuant to the diversity jurisdiction provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and the removal provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b). Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(a) and (c) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred and Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district. Additionally, the parties to this action have consented to the jurisdiction of the federal courts located in San Francisco, California.

There are no issues regarding personal jurisdiction or venue, and there are no other parties to be served.

2. Facts.

Plaintiff's Brief Description of the Case. a.

Plaintiff, the representative of the former shareholders of Zantaz, Inc. ("Zantaz""), brings this action to obtain the return of \$8 million that Defendant, which acquired Zantaz, wrongfully misappropriated to itself. Defendant fraudulently caused the removal of money from an Indemnity Escrow Account, to which these improperly-removed funds should now be returned, along with appropriate interest.

This case arises out of indemnity claims made by Defendant following Defendant's acquisition of Zantaz by means of the merger of Zantaz into a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant in July 2007. GA Escrow was and is the appointed Escrow Participants' Representative for Zantaz's former shareholders (together, the "Escrow Participants") in connection with Defendant's acquisition of Zantaz. Pursuant to the merger agreement, an amount equal to \$20,500,000 was placed into escrow to satisfy potential indemnification claims to which Defendant might be entitled. To the extent not used to cover such indemnification claims, the

monies placed into escrow were to be paid to the Escrow Participants.

On September 11, 2007, Defendant sent a letter to GA Escrow and the Escrow Agent, U.S. Bank, purporting to assert certain claims under the merger agreement for losses of approximately \$8,000,000 (the "Notice of Claim"). In submitting its Notice of Claim, Autonomy knowingly and falsely put forth claims for losses without having any reasonable factual basis to support such losses. Autonomy did so with the intention that (1) GA Escrow would rely upon its misrepresentations and not object to the Notice of Claim; and (2) the Escrow Agent would rely upon those misrepresentations and release \$8 million to Autonomy. On or around September 26, 2007, the Escrow Agent did release \$8 million to Autonomy.

GA Escrow did not learn that there was no basis for Autonomy's claimed losses until after the last day to file an objection to the Notice of Claim under the terms of the merger agreement, when it was advised by a former officer of the Company with knowledge of Zantaz's finances. After being so advised, GA Escrow requested that Autonomy provide specific detail to support its claimed losses. Despite GA Escrow's repeated requests for specific detail to support its claimed losses listed in the Notice of Claim, to date Autonomy has failed to provide any specific information or documentation that substantiates its claims.

Plaintiff believes that the principal disputed factual issues may include:

- a. Whether Autonomy had any basis for the losses claimed in its Notice of Claim under the terms of the merger agreement.
- b. Whether Autonomy had performed any calculations to support the claimed losses included in its Notice of Claim prior to submitting such Notice of Claim.

b. Defendant's Brief Description of the Case.

This is a case where two highly sophisticated commercial entities, each represented by prestigious law firms, entered into an expensive corporate transaction, in which the defendant, Autonomy, paid \$375 million to acquire a third party, Zantaz. Roughly \$20 million of that purchase price was set aside in an escrow account maintained at U.S. Bank, to be used to indemnify Autonomy for losses it might sustain in connection with the aforementioned acquisition. A very simple procedure was established by the parties—negotiated at arm's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

length—to be applied to claims by Autonomy for indemnity:

Autonomy was to submit written notice—a "Payment Request"—of its Step One: alleged claim for losses subject to indemnification.

Step Two: GA Escrow would then have 10 days to object to the Payment Request.

Step Three: If GA Escrow objected to the Payment Request for any reason, no funds would be released to Autonomy. But if GA Escrow did not object, Autonomy's claimed losses would be "conclusively deemed Losses subject to indemnification," and the appropriate funds to indemnify Autonomy for these Losses would be released from the escrow account.

Autonomy followed the procedures set forth in the Escrow Agreement to the letter: On September 11, 2007, Autonomy submitted a Payment Request for entirely valid claimed "Losses" to be indemnified by GA Escrow in connection with the merger (in fact, Autonomy sustained well over \$12 million in indemnifiable Losses). Autonomy delivered a copy of its "Payment Request" to U.S. Bank, GA Escrow, and GA Escrow's corporate counsel (Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP). Autonomy made it clear that this document, with a subject line that reads "Zantaz, Inc. Notice of Claim," was indeed "a Payment Request with respect to indemnification of Losses under Article VIII of the Merger Agreement in accordance with Section 3(b) of the Escrow Agreement."

Ten days passed without objection by GA Escrow or its counsel. Seven more days passed with no word from GA Escrow or its counsel. Then, on the 17th day after Autonomy made its Payment Request, GA Escrow asserted a belated objection, seeking to block the release of funds to Autonomy for the Losses which, pursuant to the agreement of the parties, had already been "conclusively" established. U.S. Bank – a sophisticated banking institution serving as the escrow agent in this transaction – had already released the indemnification funds to Autonomy in accordance with Section 3(b)(iv) of the Escrow Agreement. These "Losses" had been "conclusively" established and cannot now be claimed as "damages" sustained by GA Escrow in this litigation.

The Court already granted a motion to dismiss with respect to GA Escrow's original

complaint, granting leave to amend the fraud claims asserted therein. Autonomy does not believe
that the vague and unsupported allegations of fraud contained in the First Amended Complaint
are sufficient to satisfy Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b) and that this case is ripe for
resolution at the motion to dismiss stage. In the event that this case is not dismissed under
Rule 12(b), Defendant intends to file a counterclaim seeking an additional \$4 million in losses.

3. Legal Issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Plaintiff believes that the principal legal issues may include:

- Whether Defendant made fraudulent misrepresentations to GA Escrow and the a. Escrow Agent.
- h. Whether Defendant made negligent misrepresentations to GA Escrow and the Escrow Agent.
- Whether Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing c. implied in the merger agreement and the escrow agreement.
- Whether Defendant has been unjustly enriched. d.
- Whether Defendant breached the terms of the merger agreement and the escrow e. agreement

Defendant believes that the principal legal issues include:

- Whether each of Plaintiff's claims is barred by the express terms of the parties' a. agreements;
- b. Whether Plaintiff's vague and conclusory allegations of fraud are sufficient to satisfy Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b).

4. Motions.

Defendant filed a motion to dismiss on April 9, 2008. On July 8, 2008, the Court granted the motion with leave to amend. Plaintiff filed its First Amended Complaint on August 1, 2008. Defendant will be filing a motion to dismiss on or before August 18, 2008.

5. Amendment of Pleadings.

At this time, Plaintiff does not anticipate adding or dismissing any parties or claims.

28

	T • 1	D 4.	
h	HWINDON	Preservation	
17.	TANIGE TO C	i i esci valiui	8.

Plaintiff has preserved all documents and other materials in its possession, custody or control relevant to the issues in this action.

Defendant has preserved all documents and other materials in its possession, custody, or control.

7. Disclosures.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties have agreed to make their written initial disclosures on or before August 29, 2008 and to exchange documents on or before September 5, 2008.

8. Discovery.

No discovery has been taken to date.

Subjects: a.

Plaintiff anticipates taking discovery regarding the basis for the losses claimed by Defendant in its Notice of Claim. Plaintiff further anticipates taking discovery regarding the work and documentation, if any, supporting the calculation of the claimed losses included in Defendant's Notice of Claim.

Defendant anticipates taking discovery regarding Plaintiff's failure to object to the Losses within the designated period, and all of the purported bases upon which Plaintiff has now asserted fraud allegations.

Whether discovery should be phased or limited to / focused on particular issues:

The parties believe the issues are limited enough that no phasing of discovery is necessary.

c. Whether e-discovery issues are anticipated:

Plaintiff anticipates seeking discovery with regard to the creation dates and last edit dates of documents, if any, that Defendant claims support the calculation of the claimed losses included in Defendant's Notice of Claim

d. Whether there are any privilege/protection issues:

The parties do not anticipate any privilege issues at this time.

e	Whether there	should be any	changes or	additions to	the discovery	rules:
· .	Will the the	silvala oc all v	Changes of	. additions to	tile tilbeevet v	Tuics.

The parties do not believe any changes or additions to the discovery rules are required at this time, but reserve the right to seek additional discovery by stipulation or motion.

f. Whether any other orders pursuant to Fed. Civ. P. Rules 26(c), 16(b) or 16(c):

The parties anticipate filing a stipulated request for a Protective Order.

9. Class Actions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Not applicable

10. **Related Cases.**

The parties are unaware of any action related to this action.

11. Relief.

Plaintiff seeks the return by Defendant of the \$8 million it received from the Escrow Agent for its claims for purported losses to the Indemnity Escrow Fund, plus appropriate interest. Plaintiff further seeks an accounting of any and all "losses," as defined in the Merger Agreement; claimed by Defendant. Plaintiff also seeks punitive damages in such amount as the Court or the jury may award.

12. Settlement and ADR.

The parties have agreed to jointly request an early settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge, and will comply with Local Rule 3-5.

13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For all Purposes.

This case was originally assigned for trial to Chief Magistrate Judge James Larson before being reassigned to the Honorable Susan Illston. The parties respectfully do not consent to proceed before a magistrate judge.

14. Other References.

This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

15. Narrowing of Issues.

Plaintiff does not believe that the issues can be narrowed by agreement or by motion at this time; nor does it believe that a narrowing of the issues is necessary to expedite the

presentation of evidence at trial. Plaintiff does not request that any issues, claims, or defenses be bifurcated.

Defendant believes that this case is suitable for disposition on a motion to dismiss, or, if

Defendant believes that this case is suitable for disposition on a motion to dismiss, or, if such motion were denied, on a motion for summary judgment.

16. Expedited Schedule.

The parties do not believe an expedited schedule is necessary.

17. Scheduling.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties propose the following schedule:

Initial disclosures completed: September 5, 2008

Fact discovery closes: March 27, 2009

Expert disclosures: March 27, 2009

Rebuttal expert disclosures: April 17, 2009

Expert discovery closes: May 8, 2009

Last day to file dispositive motions: May 22, 2009

Hearing on dispositive motions: June 26, 2009

Pretrial Conference: July 21, 2009

Trial: July 27, 2009

18. Trial.

The case will be tried to a jury. The parties estimate the trial will take 7-10 days.

19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons.

Plaintiff filed the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil Local Rule 3-16 on August 8, 2008. As stated in the certification, Plaintiff believes that the following listed persons (i) have a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding, or (ii) have a non-financial interest in that subject matter or in a party that could be substantially affected by the outcome of this proceeding: Zantaz, Inc., Autonomy, Inc., and the Escrow Participants listed on Exhibit A to this Case Management Statement.

Defendant filed the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil Local Rule 3-16 on August 8, 2008. As stated in the certification, Defendant believes that the

persons following listed persons (i) have a	financial interest in the subject matter in controversy
or in a party to the proceeding, or (ii) have	a non-financial interest in that subject matter or in a
party that could be substantially affected by	the outcome of this proceeding: Zantaz, Inc.
20. Other Matters.	
At this time, the parties are not awar	e of other matters that may facilitate the disposition of
this matter.	
DATED: August 8, 2008	SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
	By: /s/ Gregg S. Farano GREGG S. FARANO Attorneys for Plaintiff GA ESCROW, LLC
DATED: August 8, 2008	DOLL AMIR & ELEY LLP
	By: /s/ Gregory Lawrence Doll GREGORY LAWRENCE DOLL Attorneys for Defendant AUTONOMY CORPORATION PLC
ATTESTATION PURSUANT 7	ΓO GENERAL ORDER 45 § X(B)
The ECF registered attorney, by virt	ue of his/her electronic filing of this document, attests
that in concurrence with the filing of this de	ocument, original signatures have been obtained from
each of the signatories named herein.	
CASE MANAG	SEMENT ORDER
The Case Management Conference	Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by
the Court as the Case Management Order for	or the case and the parties are ordered to comply with
this order.	
Dated:	The Honorable Susan Illston United States District Judge
7602\001\1528219.1	

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons

"Escrow Participants" as defined in the Agreement and Plan of Merger entered into by Autonomy PLC, GA Escrow, LLC, Antelope Acquisition Corp and Zantaz, Inc. dated July 3, 2007

Ziad Abi-Karam

Paul Addis

Advanced Equities Investment IV

Advanced Equities Investment IV

Alan Jeffrey

Lana Alber

Alex Hill

Jane Allen

Patricia Allen

Renee Amaral-Corvello

Andrew Moffat

Peter Arbogast

Kathleen Archambeau

Athena Venture Fund LP

Robert Atherton

Aud Investments Limited

B.F. Saul Company Employees' Profit Sharing

Dwight & Robin Badger Joint Tenants

Dwight Badger

Dwight & Robin Badger, Joint Tenants

Daniel Bankert

William E & Joan Bankert, Trustees UDT 9/30/02, Community Property

William E. Bankert

Michael Barry

David Bartle

Jean L. Batman

Bayview 2000, L.P. Liquidating Trust

Robert Beene

John M. Belchers & Leslie F. Belchers, Trustees

Gregory Bergfeld

Harriett M Bessette

Paul Bessette

Paul Bessette and Alison Treanor

Paul Morgan Bessette - Charles Schwab & Co., FBO IRA Rollover Account No. 1559-7498

Stephen Bessette

Tom Bevilacqua

Daniel J. Biasotti

Brad Bischoff

Merritt S. and Gregory D. Blake

Blue Hill Investors, LLC

Robert Bobb

Wendy Bohannon

James Boudreau

Elizabeth Bourgeois

Geoffrey Bourgeois

Bradford C. O'Brien and Judith M. O'Brien, Trustees, The O'Brien Family Trust U/D/T 7/1/92

Tracey Wong Branco

David L. Brantley

N.A. Bridge Bank

Frano Britvic

Jonathan Brust

Robert F. Buchheim and Marion K. Buchheim Trust dated 9/6/72 - Trust C

Joan Burke

Cash Butler

C. Lyn Crooms

Beth Cail

Janet Caldon

John Callahan

Gregory Campbell

James R. Campbell

Kelly Canady

CapEx

Ralph and Beckie Carter

Ben Casado

Jan Paolo R. Casanas

CDC Kineon

CDC Kineon

Garett Cecchini

Ulhas Chamkeri

Darryl L. Chan

Chase Securities, Inc.

Mahendra Chedda

Henry H. Chen

Yunxia Chen

Kenneth Chin

Illango Chinnasamy

Hanford J. Chiu

Ricky Chiu

Chris Slater

Christensen Family Trust

Brian Christianson

Glenda Citragno

Robert Clemens

Janet Mele Coatney

Communication Ventures III, L.P.

Communications Ventures III CEO & Entrepreneurs' Fund, L.P.

ComVentures IV CEO Fund, L.P.

ComVentures IV Entrepreneurs' Fund, L.P.

ComVentures IV, L.P.

Connecticut Avenue Investments, LLC

Grania Connors

Act

Gregory T. Cook

Covell Family Trust

Paige L. Covell - RBC Dain Rauscher Custodian for

Bruce Crain

Elizabeth J. Crewe

Owen Cunningham

Cutler Trust, Dated July 11, 1989

John J. Dacey

Danforth, L.L.C.

Steve Daniel

Michael Darretta

David Allen

David C. Joiner

Deborah Moffat

Deepak Raghavan

Kirk Deininger

Dennis Castaldi

Jayesh Desai

Robert Desroches

Diamond Family Trust - 2005

Diamond, Philip R. - Charles Schwab and Co., Inc., FBO IRA Rollover Account No. 2498-4830

Patricia S. Dickens

Joanna Dienstbier

Jennifer Dikran

Patrick Tom Dobaj

Cynthia Doherty

Nancy Doherty

Anne Doremus

Kenneth Due

James Dullanty

James Dullanty

Dwight & Robin Badger Joint Tenants

Dwight & Robin Badger Joint Tenants

Margo Eakin

Margo M. Eakin

David A. Eck and Wendy Eck, Joint Tenants with Rights of Survivorship

Eclipse Micro Computer, Inc.

Eggerth 1999 Revocable Living Trust – Richard Andrew Eggerth and Lucy Webster Eggerth, Trustees

Maxine L. Eggerth

Irina Elgort

Elizabeth Bourgeois

Brian C. Erb

Roger and Denise Erickson

Ernest Ferraro

Essential Computing Limited

Estelle Yarbrough

F.E.N.I.C.E.

Jim Farrell

Farrens' Family Trust

Farrens' Family Trust

Lance D. Fieldman

Lance D. Fieldman

Steve M. Fieldman

Janice Fischer

Karl Fischer

Stanley Fisher

Stanley Fisher

Mark Fleisher

Michael J. Forkin

Susan F. Fourby

Laura Fowler

Winifred L. Fox, Trustee, The Eggerth Children 1999 Irrevocable Trust

Francis Y. Chin

Jerrold D. Franklin

Frederick Hessler

Ben Freeland

Pablo Fuentes

Monte Funk

Burt A. Furuta

G. Barry Lacy

G. Barry Lacy C/F Elizabeth A. Lacy

Robert Gallo

Hanzhu Gang

GAP Coinvestment Partners III, L.P.

GAP Coinvestments IV, LLC

GAPCO GmBH & Co. KG

GAPStar, LLC

GAP-W, LLC

Michael S. Gausman

Alexa Gausman - Michael S. Gausman, as custodian under the California Transfers to Minors Act

Michael W. Gausman

Randall K. Gausman

Shannon K. Gausman

Susan Gausman

William D. and Gloria Gausman

GC Technology Fund, L.P.

General Atlantic Partners 77, L.P.

General Atlantic Partners 78, L.P.

Geneva Venture Partners

Geneva Venture Partners II

Geneva Venture Partners II

Geneva Ventures, L.P.

Geoffrey Bourgeios

Joseph H. Giammarco

Andrew J. Gioumousis

Elizabeth K. Gioumousis

George Gioumousis

Martha P. Gioumousis

Peter E. Gioumousis

Anne-Emilie Gravel

David Greene

Carl Greer

Carl Greer

Greg Campbell

H&Q Employee Venture Fund 2000, L.P.

Chul Soo Ha

Hambrecht & Quist California

Nicholas Hanson

Lee S. Harris

Stuart Harris

Harry Han

Philip Hawtrey

Bret Hekking

Jay Helmer

Gemma Herana

Matthew W. Herreras

Alex Hill

Edward and Sharon Holbrook, Family Trust, dated December 9, 1996

Karen Y. Honma

William A. Horton

David Hou

Bill Houghton

Richard Huang

Lily Hui

Wai H. Hui

Mychael Huynh

Paul R. Hvidston

IBM

Innovative Technology Partners

Innovative Technology Partners

Innovative Technology Partners II

Innovative Technology Partners II

J. Andrew Joiner

Ronald E. & Barbara Jackson

James C. Joiner

Jayant Chaudhary

JBCL O'Brien Partners, L.P.

Allan Jeffrey

Jennifer Dikran

Roberta Jester

Jim Farrell

Barbara Johnson

Gary Johnson

Kimberly L. Johnson

Joseph McCaskill

Joseph Nezi

Jeffrey Kaufman

Roslyn Kaufman, Trust U/A/D 7/2/96, Roslyn Kaufman Trustee

Arlina Kayla - Thomas Mele, Custodian under the Arizona uniform transfers to minors act

Michael Keefe

Richard D. Keefer

Shari B. Kendle

Kennedy Family Trust

Steve Kennedy

William Kennedy

Kye Kim

W. Brian Kinard

December 22, 2000

Karli M. King

Steven King

Steven B. King - Steven R. King, as Custodian under the California Uniform Transfers to Minors Act Warren and Marjorie King

Jack R. Kinne

Sajithkumar Kizhakkiniyil

Klei Family Trust, Steven E. Klei and Kathleen M. Klei as Trustees, U/A/D November 12, 2003

Steve Klei

Klei, Steven E. and Kathleen M. Klei as Trustees of the Klei Family Trust U/A/D November 12, 2003

John Klink

Richard C. Knudsen

Marc Kodama

Howard Konopka

Harold Kotler

Joanne Kozinski

Kris Smith

Cecilia M. Kuhn - Naomi Kuhn, Custodian under CUTMA

Samuel B. Kuhn - Naomi Kuhn, Custodian under CUTMA

Michael LaFave

Francis Lambert

Steve R. Lane

Paul A. Lansky

MaryBeth Lassman

Daniel and Sandra Lawrence

Victoria Lawson

LC III, L.L.C.

Lewis Mercado

David E. Liebowitz

Matthew P. Liebowitz

Peter B. Liebowitz

Sarah H. Liebowitz

61123031

61123031

Scott Littman

Bob Liu

Su-Mei Liu

Peter J. Logan

Peter J. Logan

Lost Angel Ventures, L.L.C.

Gary Lowe

Graeme Lowther

Thomas Lynch

Michael Madigan

Peter Mallon

Saul Marcus

Mark Fleisher

Robert Mark

Trish Massart

Joann M. McArdle

Bill McCann

Bill McCann

Tim McCarthy

Lisa McCord

Sam McCord

Stacy McCord

Debbie McCulloch

Diane McIntyre

Joseph McIntyre

Patrick McIntyre

McKannay Family Trust

Caren Elizabeth McKinnie

Caren Elizabeth McKinnie

Michael T. McLean

Holli McMann Bohren

Bruce M. McNamara

MediaTel Capital

MediaTel Management S.A.

Kayla Mele - Thomas Mele, Custodian under the Arizona uniform transfers to minors act

Janet Mele Coatney

Mele Family Trust, Ralph Mele and Aline M. Mele, Trustees, U/D/T dated December 11th, 1987

Arlina Mele - Thomas Mele, Custodian under the Arizona uniform transfers to minors act

Arthur N. Mele

Ralph Mele

Ralph Mele and Aline M. Mele, Trustees of the Mele Family Trust U/D/T dated December 11th, 1987

Thomas Mele

Ramonette Mendoza

Velin Mezinev

Michael D. Schini

George Middlemas

Kristen Milette

Vladimir Milutin

Bryan Mitchell

Andrew Moffat

Deborah Moffat

Razeen Mohideen

Ignatio Monahelis

James F. Moore III

John Moore

William Moore

Christina Morgan

Paul Morris

Mt. Washington Associates L.L.C.

Case 3:08-cv-01784-SI

Patrick & Laura Murphy

Ganesh Kumar Muthiah

Michael Myers

Debankur Naskar

Michael Nepomuceno

Neptune Capital Partners Fund, LLC

Neptune Explorer Fund Ltd.

Neptune Explorer Partners

Dirk David Neumann

Christopher J. Nevis and Carrie A. Lubinski, as Joint Tenants of Survivorship

Judy Nguyen

Christopher A. Niesar

George F. Niesar

Gerald V. Niesar

Kirsten H. Niesar

Thomas V. Niesar

Denise Nikoloff - a married woman, as her sole and separate property

Novus Annex Fund, L.P.

Novus Ventures II, LP

Novus Ventures II-A, LLC

Novus Ventures LP

Oban Securities Limited

O'Brien Family Trust, Bradford C. O'Brien and Judith M. O'Brien, Trustees, U/D/T 7/1/92

Olivia Marsh Projects Limited

Craig Olson

ORIX USA Corporation

ORIX Venture Finance LLC

Wei Ouyang

Pablo Fuentes

Carlos Paiva

Dongwook Park

2001

Patrick Tom Dobaj

Cyndi Pedrazzi (Melendez)

Stacy Peng (Chu)

Petersen Living Trust, Robert E. Petersen, Margaret M. Petersen Trustees, R.E. & M. dated 1/17/83

Mike Peterson

Petkanics-Gerstenschlager Family Trust UDT Dated February 16, 2000

Silvio Petrassi

Adric Petrucelli

Ramon Pfeiffer

Philip Hawtry

Koa Pickering

John F. Pierson

Therese Pimentel

Pimm Fox

Bo Pitsker

Victor Pizzolato

Robert W. Plaseski

Frederic Poirot

Robert Pollock SEP - MLPF&S Cust FBO

Veda Ponnusamy

Samuel C. Porterfield

James Powell

Pyramid Technology Ventures I, L.P.

Huy T. Quach

Sheldon J. Quan

Viswanathan Rajamannar

Ralph Mele and Aline M. Mele, Trustees of the Mele Family Trust U/D/T dated December 11th, 1987

Randall K. Gausman

Brian Rankin

Anand Rao

Kyle Raymond

Laura Raymond

Travis Raymond

REE Investments LLC

Stephen Reny

Russell S. Reynolds III

Russell S. Reynolds Jr.

Doris Rice

Steven Richardson

Jack Ripsteen

Carol Risley

Robert E. Petersen, Margaret M. Petersen Trustees, R.E. & M. Petersen Living Trust, Dated 1/17/83

Robert E. Petersen, Margaret M. Petersen Trustees, R.E. & M. Petersen Living Trust, Dated 1/17/83

Kristen Roberts

Laurie Robinson

Patricia Rochette

Roger and Denise Erickson

Brad Rohal

Philippe Rollet

Alice Rollins

Suzanne Rollinson

Joseph Romanowski

Mary J. Romero

Vera F. Rossi

Safiali Rouhi

RRV Partners, LLC

Jonathan T. Rubens

Jonathan T. Rubens

Russel G. Thornton & Elizabeth B. Thornton JTWROS

Nicholas Russo

Uwe Ruttke

Patrick W.C. Sager

Jagadish Samantarai

Samuel G. McCaskill

Jeffrey J. Sarafa

Thomas Savage

Ellen Schultz

Richard H. and Maureen K. Schweickert Jr.

Richard H. & Betty M. Schweickert

Janet Noriega Schwind

Doug Sechrist

Peter Seeger

Seldon, David L. & Joan P. Seldon TR Dtd 08/11/88, David Seldon & Joan Seldon TTEES

Sequel Entrepreneurs' Fund III, L.P.

Sequel Limited Partnership III

Partha Seshadri

Veena Setlur (Sathyam)

Ajay Shah

Thomas J. Shambo

Stephen C. Shank

Jennifer A. Shea

Linda Shelby

Richard B. Sheroff

Rostic Sheykhet

Max Shoka

Michael E. Short

Sid and Jan Sief, husband and wife as Joint Tenants With Right of Survivorship

Walter & Nancy Simone

Frank Siskowski

James M. Sitkin

Lesley Sitkin

Dick Sladek

Chris Slater

Eric Smigielski

Richard and Karen Ross Smigielski

Wesley Smigielski

David L. Smith

Philip Smolek

Scott Stanley

Steven E. Klei and Kathleen M. Klei as Trustees of the Klei Family Trust U/A/D November 12, 2003

Steven R. King and Kay A. King, as Trustees of the Steven R. and Kay A. King Revocable Trust, Dated

Steven R. King, as Custodian for Steven B. King, under the California Uniform Transfers to Minors Act

Alan C. Stickler

Michael Sullivan

Vijaya Swamy

Lydia Sweezer

Jennifer Taylor

Taylor-Tyree Family Trust u/a/d 3/14/98

TeleSoft Partners 1A, L.P.

TeleSoft Partners II QP, L.P.

TeleSoft Partners II SBIC, L.P.

TeleSoft Partners II, L.P.

TeleSoft Strategic Side Fund I, L.L.C.

Amit Tewari

The Dobaj Family Trust

The Moffat Family Trust

The Neptune Fund Limited

The Petkanics-Gerstenschlager Family Trust UDT Dated February 16, 2000

Randy Thelen

David E. Tice

George Tomura

Liz Tran (Thanh)

Kenji Treanor

Nancy Treolo (Lewis)

Curt Uehlein

Jessica Ulrich

Stephen Bessette, Van Liew Trust Company Custodian, IRA Rollover

Rick Vandenberg

Fong Vang

Michael D. Vannucci

Neelima Varudandi

Mayre Veliz

Victor Kaitel

David Waitrovich

Wall Street Technology Partners, LP

Wallace Irrevocable Trust (1997), Kirk Misaka, Trustee

William C. and Jill S. Wallace as Community Property

Judi Wallner

Brian Walsh

Peiwen Wang

Warren King and Marjorie King

Gary Weinert

Gerald Wichmann

Pilar Wienke

William E. Bankert & Joan Bankert, Trustees UDT 9/30/02, Community Property

Michael Williams

Robert Williams

Tracy P. Wirta

Wolf Venture Fund III, L.P.

Carol J. Wolf

Ricky Wong

Chris Wood

Mike Woyak

WS Investment Company 99B

Samuel H. Yan

Russ Yoshinaka

Jim Zanze

Bruce P. Zelis

Zhenyu Zha

Shenxue Zhou

Zhao Ji (George) Zhou

David Ziering

Rudolf Zubiller

Hubert and Fang Sim